

STATEMENT OF CASE

Land South of Berrington, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY5 6HA

On behalf of Econergy International Limited

Against the Refusal of Planning Permission by Shropshire Council for:

"Erection of an up to 30 MW Solar PV Array, comprising ground mounted solar PV panels, vehicular access, internal access tracks, landscaping and associated infrastructure, including security fencing, CCTV, client storage containers and grid connection infrastructure, including substation buildings and off-site cabling."

LPA Ref. 22/04355/FUL

ADAS Planning

London: 17c Curzon Street, Mayfair, London, W1J 5HU
Leeds: Unit One, 4205 Park Approach, Leeds LS15 8GB
Manchester: Fourways House, 57 Hilton St, Manchester M1 2EJ

LinkedIn: linkedin.com/company/adas-planning/



Quality Assurance

Author:	Checked By:	Issued By:	
Anthony Heslehurst BA	Anthony Heslehurst BA	Anthony Heslehurst BA	
(Hons) MPlan MRTPI	(Hons) MPlan MRTPI	(Hons) MPlan MRTPI	

Disclaimer

RSK ADAS Ltd (ADAS) has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and ADAS. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by ADAS for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of ADAS and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK ADAS Ltd.

Version History

Version	Date	Amendments
1	22/09/2023	Internal Issue
2	19/1 0/2023	Technical Review



Contents

1.	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1.	Introduction	1
	1.2.	Appeal Procedure	1
	1.3.	The Site	2
	1.4.	Grid Connection	3
	1.5.	The Proposed Development	3
	1.6.	Planning Application Documents and Plans	4
	1.7.	Appeal Plans and Documents	5
	1.8.	Design Development	5
	1.9.	Reasons for Refusal	6
2.	The	e Development Plan	8
	2 .1.	Introduction	8
3.	Oth	ner Material Considerations	11
	3 .1.	Energy and Climate Change Legislation and Policy	11
	3.2.	National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) September 2023	11
	3.3.	Planning Practice Guidance –Climate Change	
	3.4.	Planning Practice Guidance –Renewable and Low Carbon Energy	
	3.5.	Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)	13
	3.6.	National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3)	14
	3.7.	Emerging Local Plan Review	15
	3.8.	Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019	15
	3.9.	British Energy Security Strategy	16
	3.10.	Planning Appeal Decisions and Legal Cases	16
4.	Co	mmittee Report	17
	4.2.	Climate Change Consultee	17
	4.3.	Ecology Officer	17
	4.4.	Landscape Advisor	17
	4.5.	Councillor Claire Wild	17
	4.6.	Public Comments	18
	4.7.	Officer Appraisal	18



5.	Re	sponse to the Council's Reasons for Refusal	21
Į	5 .1.	Introduction	21
ļ	5.2.	Reason for Refusal 1 –Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land	2
ļ	5.3.	Reason for Refusal 2 –Adverse Visual Impact	22
ļ	5.4.	Reason for Refusal 3 –Adverse Ecological Impact	24
ļ	5.5.	Third Party Objections	26
6	Cor	nclusions	27

1. Introduction

1

1.1. Introduction

- 1.11 This Statement of Case is submitted by ADAS Planning (Agent) on behalf of Econergy International Limited (Appellant) and relates to an Appeal against Shropshire Council's refusal of the following:
 - "Erection of an up to 30 MW Solar PV Array, comprising ground mounted solar PV panels, vehicular access, internal access tracks, landscaping and associated infrastructure, including security fencing, CCTV, client storage containers and grid connection infrastructure, including substation buildings and off-site cabling."
- 1.1.2. This Appeal relates to land south of Berrington, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY5 6HA.
- 1.13. The Planning Application (Ref. 22/04355/FUL) was validated by Shropshire Council on 27th September 2022 and reported to Shropshire Southern Area Planning Committee on 9th May 2023. The Application was refused against the Officer's positive recommendation for approval, with three reasons for refusal in relation to 1) Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land, 2) Adverse visual impact and 3) Adverse ecology impact. The Decision Notice refusing Planning Permission was issued by the Council on 16th May 2023.
- 1.14. In our view, for the reasons sets out in this Statement of Case, the Planning Committee was wrong to refuse the application against the Case Officer's positive recommendation. It is our clear view that the proposals are in full accordance with the development plan, and there are no material considerations sufficient to justify a refusal. The proposal will result in only very limited harm but will deliver significant public benefits of local and national importance. At a time when the Council has itself declared a Climate Emergency, this proposal presents an opportunity to provide enough clean, renewable energy for up to 7,000 homes per annum. The proposal will directly address the challenges of climate change and energy security, overwhelmingly supported in national and local planning policy, and will deliver significant net gains in biodiversity.

1.2. Appeal Procedure

- 1.2.1. In accordance with the Planning Inspectorate Procedural Guidance (April 2022), the Appellant considers that a Public Inquiry is the most appropriate procedure by which to test the Appeal proposals, for the following reasons:
 - The proposal will result in the temporary loss of full agricultural productivity of a site of 44 hectares. The Appellant and the Council are far apart on the level of alleged harm and to what extent this can be mitigated. Expert witness will be called on soil resource management, a technical matter of some complexity that will require full examination.
 - Given the complexity of the reasons for refusal, which relate to agricultural land, visual impact and ecology, it is unlikely the Appellant and the Council could present their cases within 2 days, exceeding the normal practice for a Hearing).



- The proposal is of significant local interest. According to the Case Officer's Report, there were 194 representations in support of the proposals, 107 objecting, and 2 neutral. Objectors spoke at Planning Committee against the proposals; and
- It is necessary for other material considerations, including those relating to national energy policy and the need for the development to be properly examined, tested and understood through the examination of oral evidence. The policy context is complex and ever changing in light of the ongoing energy and cost of living crisis.
- 1.2.2. At this stage, it is anticipated based upon the reasons for refusal, that expert witnesses will be called as follows:
 - Landscape and Visual Impact
 - Ecology
 - Soil Resource/BMV Land
 - Planning
- 1.2.3. For the reasons above, the Appellant estimates that up to 5 days (including the site visit) would be required for the Inquiry.

1.3. The Site

- 1.3.1. The site measures 44.09 hectares in size and is located in an area of open countryside to the southwest of the village of Berrington, Shropshire. The site is formed of two field parcels, separated by a single-track road. The village of Berrington is located circa. 250m to the north of the site.
- 1.3.2. The site is in arable use and is bounded on all sides by mature hedgerow and occasional trees. The topography of the site is gently undulating, with the area of highest ground in the northwest section of the site. There are no Public Rights of Way (PRoW) that cross the site, although there are some views from PRoW in the surrounding area.
- 1.3.3. There are no landscape or ecological designations on the site. The nearest statutory designated sites are Berrington Pool SSSI, 400m to the north, and Bomere, Shoemere and Betten Pools SSSI, 1.1km to the northwest. The Ecology Appraisal concludes that there will be no impact on these sites and the Council's Ecology Officer has raised no objection to the proposals.
- 1.3.4. The nearest Listed Buildings to the site are Newman Hall Cottages (Ref. 1176937) to the southeast of the site, Cantlop Bridge (Ref. 1366715) to the southwest, and Boreton Bridge (Ref. 1176929) to the northwest. The Built Heritage Statement assesses that there will be no impact on these heritage assets and the Council's Heritage and Conservation Officer has raised no objection to the proposals.
- 1.3.5. The site is comprised mostly Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land, however this is characteristic of Shropshire District, and as we have demonstrated in the Site Sequential Report and Sites Assessment Addendum, there are no suitable alternative sites on lower quality land within the search area. Furthermore, the proposal is of a temporary nature (40 years), after which it can be fully restored to its existing agricultural use. The appeal



- proposal will therefore not lead to any permanent loss of any agricultural land. Further information on this issue is provided in Section 5 of this Statement of Case.
- 1.3.6. There are very few neighbour residents to the site. The only neighbour residents are Newmans Hall Cottage to the southwest of the site, Cantlop Mill to the south of the site, and Cliff House to the northwest of the site. The layout was subject to a series of revisions both at the pre-application stage, and throughout the planning application stage, to minimise any impacts on these neighbouring residents. These are summarised in Section 1.7 below and included removing panels from the southeastern and southern boundaries of the site, and the introduction of new tree planting on the southern boundary to further screen views of the site.

1.4. Grid Connection

- 1.4.1. The Appellant secured a Distribution Network Operator (DNO) Grid Offer with Western Power Distribution in 2021, which is due to come into effect in 2024. Therefore, subject to the outcome of this Appeal, the construction works can begin without delay and energy generated and fed into the national grid immediately.
- 1.4.2. To provide background to the constraints facing solar developers in this country, the Appellant currently holds a portfolio of circa. 1.7 GW of secured grid connections in the UK, however, this proposal is the only scheme nationally that can be built-out and connected from that portfolio within the next 12 months. This is due to long lead-in times for grid connection, both at the application stage and subsequent wait for the installation, which is currently 2034 or later (as communicated by the DCO).
- 1.4.3. This has serious consequences in terms of the UK's ability to achieve net zero by 2050, as committed to in the Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019. It is therefore imperative, that opportunities for renewable energy development are seized, particularly where agreements for grid connection are in place and will soon be live.
- 1.4.4. The availability of an immediate grid connection at a time of well documented delays nationally, is a significant benefit of this proposal.

1.5. The Proposed Development

- 1.5.1. The appeal proposal would involve the erection of a 30 MW Solar PV development, which would include the following main elements:
 - Boundary Fencing
 - Customer Sub-Stations
 - MV Power Stations
 - Fencing and CCTV Cameras
 - Landscaping Works
 - Internal Access Tracks
 - Welfare Units
 - Compound Area/Track Type 1



- Waterless Toilet
- Britcabs x 3
- Set Down Area
- Other associated infrastructure
- 1.5.2. Access to the site, during both the construction and operational phase, will be gained via the creation of a new site access on the western site boundary on Shrewsbury Road, to minimise disruption to residents in Berrington village to the northeast.
- 1.5.3. At the end of the 40-year operational phase of the solar farm, the development would be decommissioned, and the equipment removed from the Site. Owing to the unintrusive nature of solar development, the land could then be quickly placed back into arable use in accordance with the submitted Soil Management Plan. The improved boundary hedgerows and planting would remain, however, leaving a legacy of enhanced landscape with richer biodiversity.

1.6. Planning Application Documents and Plans

- 1.6.1. The planning application comprised the following documents at the time the application was refused:
 - Planning, Design and Access Statement
 - Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Report
 - Arboriculture Assessment
 - Archaeology Desk Based Assessment (DBA)
 - Built Heritage Statement
 - Great Crested Newt Survey
 - Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA)
 - Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy
 - Glint & Glare Study
 - Transport Statement and Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)
 - Noise Assessment
 - Site Sequential Selection Report
 - Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)
 - Berrington Farm Skylark Mitigation Areas
 - Berrington Farm Skylark Mitigation Plot Locations
 - Geophysical Survey Report
 - Landscape and Visual Appraisal (LVA)
 - Outline Soil Resource Management Plan (V2)
 - Berrington Solar Park Agricultural Production Assessment
 - Biodiversity Metric
 - Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment (V3)
 - Ecology Impact Assessment (V2)
- 1.6.2. The Planning Application comprised the following plans and drawings at the time the Application was refused:



- Site Location Plan (Drawing No: 1051487-ADAS-XX-XX-DR-P-8006)
- Site Access Drawing (Transport Assessment Drawing Ref. 111182-10-01)
- Technical Details: Customer Substation (Drawing No. PL.006)
- Technical Details: MV Power Station (Drawing No. PL.005)
- Technical Details: Storage Container (Drawing No. PL.010)
- Technical Details: Mounting Structure (Drawing No. PL.001)
- Technical Details: Various (Drawing No. PL.007)
- Kazubaloo 1 (Drawing No. Drg.No.KL1)
- Site Layout Plan (V12) (Ref. 1051487-ADAS-XX-XX-DR-PL-8000)
- Landscape Masterplan (V15) (Ref. 1051487-ADAS-XX-XX-DR-L-8001)

1.7. Appeal Plans and Documents

- 1.7.1. The following additional plans and documents have been submitted as part of this planning appeal, to provide further information and clarification:
 - Landscape Statement of Case, prepared by Daniel Haigh.
 - Soil Resource Statement of Case, prepared by Ruth Metcalfe.
 - Letter from the Appellant setting out site-finding considerations and issues relating to grid delays.
 - Letter from the Landowner setting out the wider farm context and why these fields are preferential for the solar farm.
 - Sequential Site Selection Report Addendum: Sites Assessment (October 2023).
 This Addendum provides further information on the alternative sites that were considered for the development.
 - Soil Management Plan (August 2023). This document has been updated to provide further information on soil compaction.
 - Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and Decommissioning Plan (August 2023). These documents have been produced to provide certainty that environmental impacts will be managed appropriately, and the site will be decommissioned and restored at the end of the operational phase of development.
 - Additional photomontages taken from the publicly maintained road to Cantlop Mill
 –these are provided as part of the above Landscape Statement of Case.

1.8. Design Development

- 1.8.1. The proposal was subject to amends at the Pre-application and Planning Application stages, following engagement with the Council and the local community. Further information is provided in the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) submitted with the Application, however the key amends following the pre-application consultation are summarised as follows:
 - Panels were moved further away from Cantlop Mill to the south of the site in response to neighbour comments;
 - Panels were moved further away from Newman's Hall Cottage to the southeast of the site in response to neighbour comments;



- Additional hedgerow planting was introduced along the northern boundary of the eastern parcel to provide additional screening of the site from Berrington in response to neighbour comments; and
- The main access point was moved from its initial position along the northern boundary off Cliff Hollow, to the unnamed highway on the western site boundary. This change was made to ensure construction traffic was diverted away from Berrington, in response to neighbour comments at public consultation.
- 1.8.2. During the course of the Planning Application, the proposal was further amended as follows:
 - Panels were moved further from Newman's Hall Cottage to the southeast of the site, on the advice of the Case Officer who had met personally with the residents of that property. As a result of the further amends, the distance between the property and the closest panels is now c.170m.
 - Panels were moved further from the southern site boundary near Cantlop Mill to further reduce coverage in this area, in response to neighbour comments to the Planning Application;
 - Additional tree planting was incorporated on the southern site boundary to provide additional screening, in response to neighbour comments; and
 - Following completion of the Geophysical Survey, an area of high archaeological potential was identified within the western parcel of the Site. Consequently, this area of solar panels was removed and a 5m buffer provided around the area.
- 1.8.3. The Appellant worked positively throughout the process to incorporate reasonable amends wherever possible.

1.9. Reasons for Refusal

- 1.9.1. The Planning Application was reported to the Shropshire Council Southern Planning Committee on 9th May 2023. The Case Officer's Report recommended Approval subject to conditions. Despite the Officer's positive recommendation, the Application was refused by Planning Committee, with 3 reasons for refusal as follows:
- 1.9.2. "1. Loss of Best and Most Versatile Land. 88.2% of the land within the 44.09-hectare site is best and most versatile quality with 54.1% being the higher Grade 2 quality. It is not considered that the renewable energy benefits of the proposals or the applicant's justifications for this choice of site are sufficient to outweigh the adverse impact of losing the arable production potential of this best and most versatile land for the 40-year duration of the proposed solar farm, assuming the land is physically capable of reverting to intensive arable production at the end of this time period. The proposals are therefore contrary to paragraph 174B of the NPPF and Core Strategy Policy CS6 (and the accompanying explanatory paragraphs). The proposal is also contrary to policy DP26(part 2.k) of the emerging Shropshire Local Plan which states that solar farm developments should use lower grade land in preference to best and most versatile land."
- 1.9.3. Our full response is provided in the appended Soil Resource Appeal Statement and is summarised below in Section 5 of this Statement of Case.



- "2. Adverse visual impact. The proposed solar farm site would potentially have a visually 1.9.4. oppressive effect for users of the publicly maintained highway leading to Cantlop Mill which bisects the site. This is due to the height difference of up to 6m locally between the highway and the top of the proposed arrays. The proposals would also have an adverse effect on existing expansive and high-quality views in the vicinity of the public footpath at Cantlop which is in an elevated position overlooking the site. Other publicly accessible views of a generally pristine rural environment exist from the Berrington Road to the north and the Eaton Mascot Road to the east. Additional field margin planting has been proposed and solar arrays have been pulled back in some margins with the objective of seeking to reduce such views. However, full screening is not physically possible due to the local topography, and it is not certain how effective planting would be as a visual mitigation measure. The proposals therefore have the potential to adversely affect the local landscape and visual amenities from a number of public viewpoints surrounding the site due to the replacement of the current arable fields with solar arrays and associated built infrastructure. This conflicts with Core Strategy Policies CS6, CS17 and SAMDev policy MD12."
- 1.9.5. Our full response is provided in the appended Landscape Appeal Statement and summarised below in Section 5 of this Statement of Case.
- 1.9.6. <u>"3. Adverse ecological impact."</u> Skylarks are protected under the EU Birds Directive 79/409/EEC. The application affects land which is used by Skylarks for nesting. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the loss of nesting opportunity by providing protected plots on land to the immediate north of the site. However, this land if of a different character and the general area is also used for seasonal shooting which may coincide with the Skylark nesting season. It is considered that the applicant has not demonstrated sufficiently that the proposed off-site mitigation would provide an appropriate safe and undisturbed environment for successful Skylark nesting. The proposals are therefore contrary to Core Strategy Policy CS17 and SAMDev policy MD12."
- 1.9.7. Our response to this reason for refusal is provided below in Section 5 of this Statement of Case.



2. The Development Plan

2.1. Introduction

- 2.1.1 The development plan for Shropshire comprises the following:
 - Shropshire Core Strategy, Adopted 24th February 2011
 - Site Management and Allocation of Development Document (SAMDev), Adopted 17th December 2015
- 2.1.2. The Planning, Design and Access Statement submitted with the Application provides a full assessment of the proposals against the relevant policies in the development plan.
- 2.1.3. A list of relevant policies will be agreed with the Council through a Statement of Common Ground.
- 2.1.4. The site is located within the Open Countryside (Core Strategy Policy CS6) and the western edge is located in a Mineral Safeguarding Area (SAMDev Policy MD16). Neither policy precludes solar development in this location, provided it meets the provided criteria.
- 2.1.5. Core Strategy Policy CS8 'Facilities, Services and Infrastructure Provision' sets out the following in relation to infrastructure provision:

"The development of sustainable places in Shropshire with safe and healthy communities where residents enjoy a high quality of life will be assisted by:

- "[..] Positively encouraging infrastructure, where this has no significant adverse impact on recognised environmental assets, that mitigates and adapts to climate change, including decentralised, low carbon and renewable energy generation, and working closely with network providers to ensure provision of necessary energy distribution networks"
- 2.1.6. The Council alleged breaches with three policies in the development plan –Policies CS6 and CS17 of the Core Strategy, and SAMDev Policy MD12.
- 2.1.7. Core Strategy Policy CS6 'Sustainable Design and Development Principles' sets out the following as is relevant to the appeal proposal:

"To create sustainable places, development will be designed to a high quality using sustainable design principles, to achieve an inclusive and accessible environment which respects and enhances local distinctiveness, and which mitigates and adapts to climate change. This will be achieved by: [..]

Ensuring that all development: [..].

 Protects, restores, conserves and enhances the natural, built and historic environment and is appropriate in scale, density, pattern and design taking into account the local context and character, and those features which contribute to local character, having regard to national and local design



- guidance, landscape character assessments and ecological strategies where appropriate;
- Contributes to the health and wellbeing of communities, including safeguarding residential and local amenity and the achievement of local standards for the provision and quality of open space, sport and recreational facilities.
- Is designed to a high quality, consistent with national good practice standards, including appropriate landscaping and car parking provision and taking account of site characteristics such as land stability and ground contamination;
- Makes the most effective use of land and safeguards natural resources including high quality agricultural land, geology, minerals, air, soil and water;"
- 2.1.8. Core Strategy Policy CS17 sets out the following as is relevant to the appeal proposal:

"Development will identify, protect, enhance, expand and connect Shropshire's environmental assets, to create a multifunctional network of natural and historic resources. This will be achieved by ensuring that all development:

- Protects and enhances the diversity, high quality and local character of Shropshire's natural, built and historic environment, and does not adversely affect the visual, ecological, geological, heritage or recreational values and functions of these assets, their immediate surroundings or their connecting corridors;
- Contributes to local distinctiveness, having regard to the quality of Shropshire's environment, including landscape, biodiversity and heritage assets..."
- 2.1.9. SAMDev Policy MD12 'The Natural Environment' sets out the following as is relevant to the appeal proposal:

"In accordance with Policies CS6, CS17 and through applying the guidance in the Natural Environment SPD, the avoidance of harm to Shropshire's natural assets and their conservation, enhancement and restoration will be achieved by:

Ensuring that proposals which are likely to have a significant adverse effect, directly, indirectly or cumulatively, on any of the following:

- i. the special qualities of the Shropshire Hills AONB;
- ii. locally designated biodiversity and geological sites;
- iii. priority species;
- iv. priority habitats



- v. important woodlands, trees and hedges;
- vi. ecological networks
- vii. geological assets;
- viii. visual amenity;
- ix. landscape character and local distinctiveness.

will only be permitted if it can be clearly demonstrated that:

- a) there is no satisfactory alternative means of avoiding such impacts through re-design or by re-locating on an alternative site and;
- b) the social or economic benefits of the proposal outweigh the harm to the asset.

In all cases, a hierarchy of mitigation then compensation measures will be sought."

2.1.10. Core Strategy Policy CS8 is expressly supportive of renewable energy development such as the Appeal proposal. The proposal will not lead to any significant adverse impact on any recognised environmental assets and is in full accordance with the adopted development plan when viewed as a whole. The Appellant strongly disagrees with the alleged policy conflict with Policies CS6 and CS17 of the Core Strategy, and SAMDev Policy MD12, as set out in Section 5 of this Statement of Case.



3. Other Material Considerations

3.1. Energy and Climate Change Legislation and Policy

- 3.1.1 There is overwhelming policy support for renewable energy and as part of its planning case, the Appellant will refer to relevant energy and climate change legislation and policy which includes:
 - Climate Change Act 2008
 - Climate Change Act (2050 target amendment) Order 2019
 - Clean Growth Strategy published by the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) in October 2017
 - UK Parliament's declaration of an Environmental and Climate Change Emergency in May 2019
 - Energy White Paper: Powering our Net Zero Future published in December 2020
 - UK Government's press release of acceleration of carbon reduction to 2035, dated April 2021
 - 'Net Zero Strategy: Build Back Greener' published by the UK Government in October 2021
 - British Energy Security Strategy, published in April 2022 by the UK Government
 - Government Food Strategy, published in June 2022 by the UK Government
 - Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) (July 2011)
 - Draft Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) published in September 2021
 - National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) (July 2011)
 - Draft National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) published in September 2021
 - UK Government Solar Strategy 2014
 - Written Ministerial Statement on Solar Energy: protecting the local and global environment made on 25 March 2015
- 3.1.2. Reference will also be made to progress made towards meeting carbon reduction targets, including:
 - The latest version of the Digest of United Kingdom Energy Statistics, currently the July 2022 version
 - Achieving Net Zero' published by the National Audit Office in December 2020.

3.2. National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) September 2023

- 3.2.1. The NPPF is a key material consideration in the determination of planning applications. The Framework, updated in September 2023, confirms the statutory presumption in favour of the development plan and sets out the Government's national planning policies for the achievement of sustainable development.
- 3.2.2. Paragraph 7 advises the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.



- 3.2.3. Paragraph 8 goes on to advise that sustainable development has three overarching objectives, an economic objective, a social objective and an environmental objective. Moving to a low carbon economy forms part of the environmental objective.
- 3.2.4. Paragraph 11 advises that decisions (on planning applications) should apply a presumption in favour of sustainable development. As such, development proposals that accord with the development plan should be approved without delay.
- 3.2.5. Paragraph 81 states that planning decisions should help to create the conditions in which businesses can invest, expand, and adapt. It states that significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth and productivity, considering both local business needs and the wider opportunities for development.
- 3.2.6. Paragraph 84 of the NPPF states that planning decisions should support a prosperous rural economy by enabling the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based businesses.
- 3.2.7. Paragraph 152 states that the planning system should support the transition to a low carbon future in a changing climate. It states that the planning system should help to shape places in ways that contribute to a radical reduction to greenhouse gas emissions, encouraging the reuse of existing resources, and supports renewable and low carbon energy and associated infrastructure.
- 3.2.8. Paragraph 158 of the NPPF states that when determining Planning Applications for renewable development, local planning authorities should:
 - not require applicants to demonstrate the overall need for renewable or low carbon energy, and recognise that even small-scale projects provide a valuable contribution to cutting greenhouse gas emissions; and
 - approve the Application if its impacts are (or can be made) acceptable.
- 3.2.9. Paragraph 174 advises that policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by inter alia: (a) protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils (in a manner commensurate with their statutory status or identified quality in the development plan); (b) recognising the intrinsic character and beauty of the countryside, and the wider benefits from natural capital and ecosystem services –including the economic and other benefits of the best and most versatile agricultural land, and of trees and woodland; (d) minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures

3.3. Planning Practice Guidance –Climate Change

3.3.1. This PPG document addresses the role that the planning system can play in addressing climate change. Specifically, it refers to the importance of supporting the delivery of appropriately sited green energy. Furthermore, it states that LPAs should ensure that protecting the local environment is properly considered when factoring in the broader issue of protecting the global environment. This PPG also reiterates that responding to



climate change is central to the economic, social and environmental aspects of sustainable development.

3.4. Planning Practice Guidance – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy

3.4.1. This PPG document states that planning has an important role in the delivery of new renewable and low carbon energy infrastructure, specifically stating that increasing the amount of energy from renewable sources is a key aspect in ensuring that the UK has a secure energy supply, as well as also slowing down the negative impacts of climate change and stimulating investment in new jobs and businesses. Furthermore, this PPG also specifically discusses larger scale ground-mounted solar photovoltaic farms. It notes the importance of assessing a proposal's visual impact, the effect of the development on the landscape, and the impact of potential glint and glare.

3.5. Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)

- 3.5.1. EN-1 was published in July 2011 to set out national policy for energy infrastructure in the UK. Its primary purpose is to be applied to decisions for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP). While the proposed development the subject of this appeal is not a NSIP, it is confirmed at paragraph 1.2.1 of EN-1 that this document can be a material consideration in the determination of planning applications.
- 3.5.2. Paragraph 3.4.1 sets out the UK commitments to sourcing 15% of energy from renewable sources by 2020. To hit this target, and to largely decarbonise the power sector by 2030, EN-1 states that "It is necessary to bring forward new renewable electricity generating projects as soon as possible. The need for new renewable energy electricity generation projects is therefore urgent."
- 3.5.3. The National Policy Statement sets out how the energy sector can help deliver the Government's climate change objectives by clearly setting out the need for new low carbon energy infrastructure to contribute to climate change mitigation.
- 3.5.4. A Draft of NPS EN-1 was published in September 2021. It specifically considers the implications of meeting net zero at Section 2.3 (page 16) and explains that the Government's objectives for the energy system are to ensure our supply of energy always remains secure, reliable, affordable and consistent with meeting our target to cut GHG emission to net zero by 2050. It states that 'this will require a step change in the decarbonisation of our energy system' (paragraph 2.3.2).
- 3.5.5. It further notes that the sources of energy we use will need to change, as fossil fuels still accounted for just over 79% of our energy supply in 2019. It continues 'we will need to dramatically increase the volume of energy supplied from low carbon sources and reduce the amount provided by fossil fuels'. (paragraph 2.3.4). This statement again reinforces the messages from the plethora of recent government announcements that there is a need to substantially increase low carbon energy generation beyond current rates of deployment. The proposed development will make a meaningful and material contribution.



3.5.6. Indeed, the NPS continues to explain the 'urgent need for new generating capacity' (page 28), that wind and solar are the lowest cost ways of generating electricity, and that the government's '...analysis shows that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar' (paragraph 3.3.21).

3.6. National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3)

- 3.6.1. EN-3 was also published in July 2011 and sets out the national policy for renewable energy projects.
- 3.6.2. EN-3 should be read in conjunction with EN-1. Similar to EN-1, EN-3 sets out the importance of renewable energy in achieving the Government's ambitious targets for renewable energy generation, highlighting that a "significant increase in generation from large-scale renewable energy infrastructure is necessary to meet the 15% renewable energy target".
- 3.6.3. A draft of NPS EN-3 was also published in September 2021. It is again noted that this is a draft document, the contents of which are subject to change, however, it is considered that the guidance set out in this document should be afforded appropriate weight as the latest statement of Government planning policy on solar farms.
- 3.6.4. This document confirms that the Government is committed to sustained growth in solar capacity to ensure that we are on a pathway that allows us to meet net zero emissions. The Government affirms that 'as such solar is a key part of the government's strategy for low-cost decarbonisation of the energy sector.' (paragraph 2.47.1). Given that this statement is entirely consistent with the subsequent publication of the Net Zero Strategy in October 2021, the Appellant is of the opinion that these draft policy documents should be afforded significant weight in this appeal.
- 3.6.5. The draft NPS EN-3 explains a number of key considerations involved in the siting of solar farms, and also technical considerations for the Secretary of State to consider. These considerations have been taken into account as relevant in this Statement as the specific consideration arises.
- 3.6.6. The Appellant draws attention to the recognition of the importance of the grid connection as a consideration for applicants of solar farm development as contained within Draft NPS EN-3. Paragraph 2.48.12 describes that "The applicant may choose a site based on nearby available grid export capacity. Locating solar farms at places with grid connection capacity enables the applicant to maximise existing grid infrastructure, minimise disruption to local community infrastructure or biodiversity and reduce overall costs. Where this is the case, consideration should be given to the cumulative impacts of situating a solar farm in proximity to other energy generating stations and infrastructure."
- 3.6.7. Attention is also drawn to the comments relating to 'Agricultural land classification and land type' as a factor influencing site selection and the description at paragraph 2.48.13 which states that the use of "Best and Most Versatile" cropland should be avoided where



- possible but that "land type should not be a predominating factor in determining the suitability of the site location".
- 3.6.8. The draft NPS EN-3 also emphasises the time-limited effects of a solar scheme and states at paragraph 2.49.13 that where a time-limit is sought by an applicant as a condition of consent, '...it is likely to be an important consideration for the Secretary of State when assessing impacts such as landscape and visual effects and potential effects on the settings of heritage assets'. The paragraph continues that 'Such judgements should include consideration of the period of time sought by the applicants for the generating station to operate. The extent to which the site will return to its original state may also be a relevant consideration'. Given the time limited extent of 40 years that is being sought, and the decommissioning of the solar farm beyond that time with a legacy of new planting enduring as a legacy of the proposed development, this will enhance the landscape character and biodiversity interests in the long-term.

3.7. Emerging Local Plan Review

- 3.7.1. The emerging Local Plan Review was submitted to the Secretary of State on 3rd September 2021. The Local Plan Review sets out the vision and framework for the development of Shropshire for the period 2016-2038.
- 3.7.2. The most relevant emerging policies are as follows:
 - Policy SP3 'Climate Change'
 - Policy DP26 'Strategic, Renewable and Low Carbon Infrastructure'
- 3.7.3. Emerging Policy SP3 states that development in Shropshire will support the transition to a zero-carbon economy in accordance with the policies of the Local Plan. This will be done in part by integrating or supporting both on-and-off site delivery of renewable and low carbon energy by supporting the development of community energy generation and distribution schemes, where they meet the policy requirements of the Local Plan and any relevant national policy.
- 3.7.4. Emerging Policy DP26 states that the delivery of sustainable communities in Shropshire relies on the provision of new strategic infrastructure and the continued operation of existing strategic infrastructure. Furthermore, it states that non-wind renewable and low carbon development will be supported where the impact is, or can be made, acceptable.
- 3.7.5. Where a large-scale solar farm requires the use of agricultural land, poorer quality land should be used in preference to land of a higher quality (see also Policy DP18). Proposals should allow for continued agricultural use wherever possible and/or encourage biodiversity improvements around arrays.

3.8. Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019

3.8.1. The Act introduced the UK's statutory target to reduce its' carbon dioxide emissions to below 80% of the country's 1990 levels by 2050. The Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target



Amendment) Order 2019 increased the percentage to 100%, committing the UK to achieving net zero by 2050.

3.9. British Energy Security Strategy

3.9.1. The British Energy Security Strategy was published by the Government in April 2022. It outlines the UK targets to increase the country's electricity being produced by low-carbon means to 95% by 2030 and 100% by 2035. In meeting these targets, specific reference is made with respect to the importance of cheaper, cleaner, and more sustainable renewables as the best defence against fluctuation in global gas prices. Significantly, the British Energy Security Strategy sets out a target to grow solar generating capacity fivefold by 2035.

3.10. Planning Appeal Decisions and Legal Cases

3.10.1. The Appellant will refer to other planning decisions / appeal decisions of relevance to the Appeal proposal. These will be agreed with Shropshire Council and provided as Core Documents.



4. Committee Report

- 4.1.1. The Planning Application was reported to the Shropshire Southern Area Planning Committee on 9th May 2023. The Case Officer's Report (OR) recommended approval subject to conditions and a s106 legal agreement providing off-site skylark mitigation.
- 4.1.2. The OR provides a full assessment of the proposals against the development plan and other material considerations.
- 4.1.3. Section 4 of the OR sets out the representations that were received to the application from statutory consultees and neighbours.

4.2. Climate Change Consultee

4.2.1. Paragraph 4.3 summarises the comments from the Council's own Climate Change Task Force. The Case Officer summarises some of the key comments as follows:

"It is recognised by the Climate Task Force that the development would contribute 30MW towards the approximate total of 5,000MW required to make the county self-sufficient in renewable energy. According to Greenhouse gas reporting: conversion factors 2022—UK electricity this development would be expected to produce an approximate carbon saving of 5.8 ktCO2."

4.3. Ecology Officer

- 4.3.1. At paragraph 4.9, the OR notes that further clarification was requested by the Council's ecologists on measures to mitigate against the loss of skylark habitat, and notes that this additional information had been subsequently provided by the Applicant. Any further comments from the Council's ecologist would be reported to Committee.
- 4.3.2. It is noted that the Council's ecologist did confirm in writing on 9th May 2023 that it did not object to the application, subject to conditions and a s106 agreement for the management of the skylark compensation areas. At the Planning Committee meeting, against the advice of some Members and against the advice of the Case Officer, a Member of the Planning Committee insisted on including loss of skylark habitat as a reason for refusal, despite the agreed compensation immediately adjacent to the site that had been negotiated over many months with the Council's ecologists.

4.4. Landscape Advisor

4.4.1. Section 4.12 summarises the advice received from the Council's external Landscape Advisor. The Advisor raised no objection to the proposal and confirmed the LVA methodology to be "appropriate and proportionate, and in accordance with best practice in GLVIA3".

4.5. Councillor Claire Wild



4.5.1. Section 4.13 summarises the objections received from Councillor Claire Wild, who is a neighbour resident to the site. Councillor Wild raises several objections as set out in the Officer Report. The Appellant engaged with Councillor Wild at the pre-application stage, including attending an accompanied site visit and the community exhibition. Full details of this are provided in the Statement of Community Involvement.

4.6. Public Comments

4.6.1. At paragraph 4.14, the OR summarises the public comments that were received to the application. It notes that at the time of writing the OR, 194 representations had been received in support of the application, 107 objecting, and 2 neutral. The comments in support note the benefits of clean energy and the contribution towards energy security, carbon saving and reducing energy poverty, as well as the biodiversity benefits. The comments objecting to the proposals cover a broad range of issues but focus on the visual impact of the proposal and the temporary loss of BMV agricultural land.

4.7. Officer Appraisal

- 4.7.1. Section 6 of the OR provides the Case Officer's planning assessment of the proposals. At paragraph 6.1.1, the Case Officer notes the clear policy support at the national level:
 - "There is clear instruction in national policy that renewable energy development should be approved where impacts can be made acceptable".
- 4.7.2. In Section 6 the Case Officer sets out an assessment of Policies CS8, CS17, MD2, MD8 and MD12. In paragraph 6.1.7, the Case Officer confirms that in accordance with policy, if there are no unacceptable adverse impacts after mitigation and/or the benefits outweigh any residual impacts, then the relevant policy tests will be met, and the development would be 'sustainable' and should be granted.
- 4.7.3. In Section 6.2, the Case Officer describes the public benefits of the proposal. These include the provision of clean energy for up to 7,000 homes, 132% net gains in biodiversity, and substantial economic benefits.
- 4.7.4. In Section 6.4, the Case Officer considers the matter of the temporary loss of BMV land and discusses the planning policy context. The Case Officer notes the following at paragraph 6.4.16:

"Whilst relevant policies and guidance advocate the use of poorer quality land in preference to better quality there is no absolute policy prohibition against the use of best and most versatile land in solar development. Instead, applicants must justify their choice of site and planning authorities must consider any impacts to B&MV land as part of the planning balancing exercise. The ability to graze sheep and other animals between the arrays is likely to be a material issue in assessing any temporary loss of B&MV land. The applicant states that the proposals would also facilitate more effective use of land which is rated as Grade 3b within the



unit and would ensure that the landowner has a secure supply of income to reinvest in their agricultural business.

Whilst there would be a temporary loss of B&MV land this must be weighed against the benefits of the proposals including the ability to produce renewable energy."

4.7.5. In Section 6.5, the Case Officer considers landscape and visual impact. At paragraph 6.5.7, the Case Officer noted:

"The officer has visited the site and notes that the local landscape is generally of a high quality. Views of the site would be available in particular from Cantlop which is located to the south of the site on the opposite side of a small valley. Trees on the site's southern boundary would provide some mitigation but would not fully screen the site given the topography. Additional views are possible from the track which runs through the site to Cantlop Mill. The applicant has proposed additional hedgerow planting either side of this track to screen these views.

The Council's landscape adviser has supported the LVIA methodology and conclusions that the proposals can be accepted in terms of visual and landscape effects. The renewable energy benefits of the proposals must also be taken into account, as highlighted by the Council's climate change task force."

4.7.6. From paragraph 6.7.5, the Case Officer considers the matter of ecology. The Case Officer notes that the proposal will deliver 132% net gains in biodiversity, and subject to conditions and a s106 agreement for skylark mitigation, the proposal complies with relevant planning policy regarding ecology and biodiversity. At paragraph 6.7.9, the Case Officer summarises:

"SC Ecology has not objected subject to a number of ecological conditions linked to habitat / biodiversity management / enhancement (included in Appendix 1). They requested further information in relation to mitigation for effects on Skylark habitat. In response the applicant has identified a specific area for Skylark mitigation in fields to the immediate north of the proposed site and has put forward specific management measures for this area to ensure that the habitat remains optimal for Skylark throughout the operational life of the proposed development. These provisions would be secured by means of a \$106 Legal Agreement. Subject to this it is concluded that the Proposed Development complies with relevant planning policy regarding ecology / biodiversity (CS6, CS17, MD12)."

4.7.7. The Case Officer's planning balance and conclusions are provided in Section 7 of the OR. The conclusion is provided at paragraph 7.5 as follows:

"The NPPF advises that the production of renewable energy is a material consideration which should be given significant weight and that sustainable



development proposals which accord with the development plan should be approved without delay (S158). It is concluded that the proposals are sustainable.

There have been no outstanding objections from technical consultees with respect to issues such as highways, trees, ecology and drainage. Detailed planning conditions have been recommended to ensure the highest level of control of the development. Subject to this it is considered that the proposal also meets the criteria for development in the countryside as set out in Core Strategy Policy CS5. The proposal is therefore in general accordance with the Development Plan. Overall, it is considered that the public benefits of the proposals including renewable energy provision are sufficient to outweigh any identified residual impacts and permission should be granted subject to the conditions set out in Appendix 1."

4.7.8. We agree with the Case Officer's professional recommendation that the proposal comprises sustainable development and is in accordance with the development plan. We are also in agreement with the Case Officer than the production of renewable energy is a material consideration that should be give substantial weight in the planning balance.



5. Response to the Council's Reasons for Refusal

5.1. Introduction

- 5.1.1 Our response to the three reasons for refusal is provided as follows:
 - Reason for Refusal 1 Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land –See attached Soil Management Statement of Case, summarised in Section 5.2 below.
 - Reason for Refusal 2 –Adverse Visual Impact –See attached Landscape Appeal Statement, summarised in Section 5.3 below.
 - Reason for Refusal 3 –Adverse Ecological Impact –See Section 5.4 below.

5.2. Reason for Refusal 1 -Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land

- 5.2.1. Reason for Refusal 1 relates to the temporary loss of full utilisation of Best and Most Versatile (BMV) Agricultural Land:
- 5.2.2. "88.2% of the land within the 44.09-hectare site is best and most versatile quality with 54.1% being the higher Grade 2 quality. It is not considered that the renewable energy benefits of the proposals or the applicant's justifications for this choice of site are sufficient to outweigh the adverse impact of losing the arable production potential of this best and most versatile land for the 40-year duration of the proposed solar farm, assuming the land is physically capable of reverting to intensive arable production at the end of this time period. The proposals are therefore contrary to paragraph 174B of the NPPF and Core Strategy Policy CS6 (and the accompanying explanatory paragraphs). The proposal is also contrary to policy DP26(part 2.k) of the emerging Shropshire Local Plan which states that solar farm developments should use lower grade land in preference to best and most versatile land."
- 5.2.3. In the Soil Management Statement of Case submitted with this Appeal, Mrs Metcalfe confirms that the site has been surveyed in detail and found to be a mixture of Grade 2, Subgrade 3a and Subgrade 3b quality.
- 5.2.4. Mrs Metcalfe sets out the purpose of the Soil Management Plan (SMP) that was submitted with the planning application as follows:
 - to ensure the protection and conservation of soil resources on site
 - identify best practice to maintain the physical properties of the soils on site
 - provide on-site reference on the management of the soil resource for site operators
- 5.2.5. Mrs Metcalfe sets out that the SMP follows the principles of best practice to maintain the physical properties of the soil with the aim of restoring the land to its pre-construction condition at the end of the operational phase of the lifetime of the solar farm. Mrs Metcalfe assesses that subject to adherence to the SMP, the land should be physically capable of reverting to agricultural production.



- 5.2.6. In relation to the justification for the choice of site, the Appellant has submitted an additional *Site Sequential Report Addendum: Sites Assessment Report*, which provides a detailed assessment of potential alternative sites in the search area. The report considered several potential alternative sites, which were subject to further assessment. These were assessed in turn and found to be no better suited to the development than the Appeal site. The entire search area is Grade 2 or 3 Agricultural Land, and the alternative sites in the search area are demonstrably subject to additional physical or policy constraints, including ecological and heritage designations, flood risk and topographical constraints. The full assessment is provided in the submitted Addendum Report.
- 5.2.7. The Planning Application was also submitted with an Agricultural Production Assessment (APS), prepared by the ADAS Agricultural Land Specialists and the landowner. The purpose of the APS was to provide background to the farm enterprise, and how the proposed solar development would support the wider farm business, particularly at a time when much of the wider farm is coming out of Higher Level Stewardship (HLS), presenting economic challenges, but also new opportunities in terms of returning some HLS land back to arable production.
- 5.2.8. The Appellant's case in relation to the temporary loss of BMV agricultural land is summarised as follows:
 - The Appeal proposal is temporary for a period of 40-years, following which it can be fully reverted to agricultural production, resulting in no permanent loss of any agricultural land.
 - The site can continue to be used for some agricultural purposes, with grazing areas for livestock beneath the solar panels.
 - This soil quality will be preserved throughout the operational phase by adhering to the detailed measures set out within the submitted Soil Management Plan.
 - As demonstrated in the submitted *Site Sequential Report* and the subsequent *Addendum: Sites Assessments Report*, there are demonstrably no suitable alternative sites on lower grade land within the search area.

5.3. Reason for Refusal 2 – Adverse Visual Impact

- 5.3.1. Reason for Refusal 2 relates to visual impacts:
- 5.3.2. "The proposed solar farm site would potentially have a visually oppressive effect for users of the publicly maintained highway leading to Cantlop Mill which bisects the site. This is due to the height difference of up to 6m locally between the highway and the top of the proposed arrays. The proposals would also have an adverse effect on existing expansive and high-quality views in the vicinity of the public footpath at Cantlop which is in an elevated position overlooking the site. Other publicly accessible views of a generally pristine rural environment exist from the Berrington Road to the north and the Eaton Mascot Road to the east. Additional field margin planting has been proposed and solar arrays have been pulled back in some margins with the objective of seeking to reduce such views. However, full screening is not physically possible due to the local topography, and it is not certain how effective planting would be as a visual mitigation measure. The



- proposals therefore have the potential to adversely affect the local landscape and visual amenities from a number of public viewpoints surrounding the site due to the replacement of the current arable fields with solar arrays and associated built infrastructure. This conflicts with Core Strategy Policies CS6, CS17 and SAMDev policy MD12."
- 5.3.3. In the Landscape Appeal Statement submitted as part of this Appeal, Daniel Haigh considers in detail the visual effects of the proposal, as follows:
 - Visual effects on users of the road leading to Cantlop Mill.
 - Visual effects on users of the public footpath at Cantlop (0407/5R/2).
 - Visual effects on users of road that runs along the northern boundary (known locally as the Berrington Road).
 - Visual effects on users of the road that runs along the eastern boundary (known locally as the Eaton Mascot Road).
- 5.3.4. The Landscape Appeal Statement addresses how the proposal would affect the visual amenity of those visual receptors listed above.
- 5.3.5. In relation to the visual effects on users of the road leading to Cantlop Mill, Mr Haigh concludes that at completion and after 15 years, there would be open views of the proposal from sections of the road where gaps in the vegetation occur for field access, and partial views from the northern sections of the road, with glimpses possible through vegetation for the remaining length of road. Mr Haigh notes however that the proposed panels will be set back from the road and would not be oppressive or seen over the vegetation running along the road where it passes the site.
- 5.3.6. In relation to the visual effects on users of the public footpath at Cantlop (0407/5R/2), Mr Haigh assesses that there are open views of the site from most of the PRoW, with clear views to the high ground in the north of the site, whilst the lower ground in the south of the site is screened from views by existing vegetation. Mr Haigh assesses that although there would be open views of the site at completion, after 15 years the magnitude of change would reduce to moderate as the vegetation would have matured around the site, softening the visual impact of the proposal.
- 5.3.7. In relation to the visual effects on users of the road that runs along the northern site boundary, Mr Haigh assesses that although at completion there would be open views of the proposal from the sections of the road were gaps occur in the vegetation for site access, the magnitude of change taken as a whole over the length of the rod would be minor at completion, and the level of effect at completion would be slight.
- 5.3.8. In relation to the visual effects on users of the road that runs along the eastern boundary, Mr Haigh assesses that there are small open views of the site where gaps in the vegetation for field access occur, and there are partial views across the eastern field parcel from a 20m section of the road to the south east corner of the site. Oblique glimpsed views are possible along some sections of the road that runs adjacent to the site where the vegetation thins out. At completion, Mr Haigh assesses that the magnitude of change would



- be minor adverse when taken as a whole over the length of the road, and the level of effect would be slight.
- 5.3.9. Overall, Mr Haigh concludes that the site is visually well contained due to surrounding landform, existing vegetation and the low visual profile of the scheme. Visibility would be localised and limited with the exception of the rising ground to the south of the site. Mr Haigh notes that the proposal has been carefully designed to conserve the majority of the landscape features of the site, topography, hedgerows, trees and field pattern. The proposal would be set within the existing landscape framework of the landscape.
- 5.3.10. The Council's external landscape consultee raised no objection to the proposal and confirmed the LVA methodology to be "appropriate and proportionate, and in accordance with best practice in GLVIA3".

5.4. Reason for Refusal 3 –Adverse Ecological Impact

- 5.4.1. Reason for Refusal 3 relates to the acceptability of off-site skylark mitigation:
- 5.4.2. "Skylarks are protected under the EU Birds Directive 79/409/EEC. The application affects land which is used by Skylarks for nesting. The applicant proposes to mitigate for the loss of nesting opportunity by providing protected plots on land to the immediate north of the site. However, this land if of a different character and the general area is also used for seasonal shooting which may coincide with the Skylark nesting season. It is considered that the applicant has not demonstrated sufficiently that the proposed off-site mitigation would provide an appropriate safe and undisturbed environment for successful Skylark nesting. The proposals are therefore contrary to Core Strategy Policy CS17 and SAMDev policy MD12."
- 5.4.3. A Skylark Mitigation Plan was produced by ADAS based on baseline data gathered in breeding bird surveys of the site in 2022 and current best practice guidance and studies on the effects of solar farms on Skylarks (ADAS, 2023a¹).
- 5.4.4. RSPB guidance (RSPB, 2023²) states that each Skylark plot should cover a minimum area of 16 square meters. These will be created in the compensation area at a density of 1 plot per 0.5 ha. The breeding bird surveys of the site identified 11 Skylark territories on site (ADAS, 2023b³), meaning that in accordance with guidance, 5.5 ha of compensatory land would be required.
- 5.4.5. To provide further mitigation in the event that the number of territories had been underestimated, an additional 0.5 ha is to be set aside to accommodate at least one additional pair of Skylark. A total of 6 ha is to be used compensation, as stated in ADAS 2023.

¹ ADAS. (2023a). Skylark Mitigation and Management Plan: Land South of Berrington, Shrewsbury. Appendix A

² Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (2023) Skylark Plots. Available online at: <u>Skylark plots | Advice For Farmers - The RSPB</u>

³ ADAS. (2023b). Ecological Impact Assessment; Solar Farm on Land South of Berrington.



- 5.4.6. The total area of land designated for compensation for Skylarks is 25 ha. This land is immediately north of the site and currently used for grazing/silage purposes. The actual area of the compensatory land utilised for Skylark plots will be dependent upon the intended land use of the compensatory land. If managed as arable, 6 ha of dedicated land will be set aside solely as Skylark plots. If used as pastoral, the whole area will be managed to be suitable for Skylark plots with appropriate conservation grazing measures as set out in ADAS, (2023b) implemented. However, the area of land to be managed for Skylark plots will be in excess of the minimum land required in accordance with best practice guidance and the results of the breeding bird surveys carried out at the site (5.5 ha), in any land use scenario. In addition, Skylark were observed using this compensatory land during the breeding bird surveys of the development site but as these observations were not pertinent to the survey of the site, this data was not included in the survey report.
- 5.4.7. It was identified that the land-use of this compensatory habitat could change in the near future and prescriptive management measures for its use as both arable and pastoral agriculture were provided. This will mean that the compensatory areas will be managed to remain suitable for Skylarks despite any potential changes in land use or differing land use to the development site.
- 5.4.8. Should the land be used as grazing land, the entirety of the land will be managed in accordance with conservation grazing guidance (EU, 2009⁴, Farm Advisory Service, 2017⁵; Kent Wildlife Trust, 2012⁶ and DEFRA, 2022⁷). Livestock densities will be determined by livestock type and will not exceed the levels outlined within the guidance in order to maintain a sward height suitable for Skylarks, between 10 and 60 cm for breeding, and over 25 cm for feeding. Livestock will not be present within the compensation area between 01 April and 01 June to maximise Skylark breeding success. Any areas of the compensatory land used for silage production will not be cut between 01 April and 01 June to maximise Skylark breeding success.
- 5.4.9. Providing the entire of the compensatory land is maintained in such a way to ensure that the territory density of 0.5 territories per hectare or above, this will be in excess of the minimum required land to accommodate Skylark territories that may be lost to the proposed development (11 territories). Monitoring of the compensation area will ensure this territory density is achieved and maintained. In addition, this compensatory habitat as well as additional foraging opportunities provided through agreed landscaping on the

⁴ European Union (2009) Natura 2000 species factsheet: Skylark Alauda arvensis. Available online at: https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/Alauda%20arvensis%20factsheet%20-%20SWIFI.pdf

⁵ Farm Advisory Service (2017) Technical Note TN686: Conservation Grazing for Semi-Natural Habitats. Available online at: https://www.fas.scot/downloads/tn686-conservation-grazing-semi-natural-habitats/

⁶ Kent Wildlife Trust (2012) Kent Wildlife Trust Land Management Advice Series (05): A brief guide to choosing livestock for conservation grazing. Available online at: https://www.kentwildlifetrust.org.uk/sites/default/files/2018-06/KWT%20Land%20Mgt%20Advice_Sheet%205%20-

^{%20}Choosing%20livestock%20for%20conservation%20grazing.pdf

⁷ Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2022) Graze with Livestock to maintain and improve habitats. Available online at: https://defrafarming.blog.gov.uk/graze-with-livestock-to-maintain-and-improve-habitats/



- development site, will ensure the compensatory land will accommodate both territories lost on the development site and any existing Skylark territories already present within the compensatory land.
- 5.4.10. In the event the land is to be used for arable purposes, a total of 6 ha of land will be managed solely for Skylark to compensate for the loss of habitat on site. This is again, in excess of the minimum RSPB guidance and in addition to the suitable habitat that would be present in the rest of the compensatory land managed as arable, would provide sufficient land to accommodate Skylark territories that may be lost to the proposed development (11 territories) and any existing Skylark territories already present within the compensatory land.
- 5.4.11. In regard to the management of these compensatory areas for the 40-year duration of the proposed development, the mitigation plan acknowledged the lack of monitoring data in regards to Skylark mitigation/compensation. Monitoring of the compensation area will be undertaken for a period of five years to establish population trends on site and recommend corrective measures if required.
- 5.4.12. In the event that the compensatory land is required for an alternative use during the 40-year operational period, alternative suitable land will be agreed with the Local Planning Authority.
- 5.4.13. In relation to 'seasonal shooting' in the 'general area'. Seasonal shooting typically takes place between November and February (British Association for Shooting and Conservation⁸), dependent upon target species. This does not coincide with the general nesting bird (including Skylarks) season of March to August/September as outlined in standard best practice guidance. Seasonal shooting would therefore have no impact upon nesting Skylarks in the compensatory area.
- 5.4.14. Sophie Milburn, Planning Ecologist for Shropshire Council has raised no objections in relation to the baseline data and mitigation proposals (including the Skylark Mitigation Plan) and has confirmed that providing compensation areas will be secured, the proposal would be in accordance with the NPPF, Core Strategy Policy CS17 and MD12 of the SAMDev (Shropshire Council Memorandum 09/05/2023).
- 5.4.15. The Appellant proposes to secure the compensation areas by way of a s106 agreement or planning condition.

5.5. Third Party Objections

5.5.1. In so far as they are not already dealt with in the response to the Council's reasons for refusal, the Appellant will call evidence in response to third party representations.

⁸ https://basc.org.uk/advice/quarry-species-shooting-seasons/



6. Conclusions

- 6.1.1. The Appellant will demonstrate through detailed evidence that the proposal is in full accordance with the development plan, that there are no material considerations to justify a refusal, and that the proposal should have been approved in line with the Case Officer's positive recommendation.
- 6.1.2. This important proposal presents an opportunity to provide enough clean, renewable energy for up to 7,000 homes per annum. The proposal will directly address the challenges of climate change and energy security, overwhelmingly supported in national and local planning policy, and will deliver significant net gains in biodiversity, amongst other benefits.
- 6.1.3. Furthermore, it will be demonstrated that there are very limited harms of the proposal, which are clearly outweighed by the very significant public benefits.



STATEMENT OF CASE

Proposed 30MW Solar PV Array on Land South of Berrington, Shrewsbury, Shropshire, SY5 6HA

On behalf of Econergy International Limited

Prepared By:	Technical Review By	Authorised By:
		in the state of th
	447	